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## COMMISSION DECISION

of 12.1.2021
authorising the use of unit costs for travel, accommodation and subsistence costs under
an action or work programme under the 2021-2027 multi-annual financial framework

## THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Atomic Energy Community,
Having regard to Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union ${ }^{1}$, and in particular Articles 125 and 181thereof,

Whereas:
(1) Unit costs considerably simplify the administrative procedures and reduce the risk of errors, consequently leading to a decrease of the workload for the European Commission services and for the beneficiaries.
(2) The categories of travel, accommodation and subsistence costs are frequently eligible for reimbursement from the Union budget under an action or work programmes.
(3) Due to the changed environment brought about by situations such as COVID-19, authorising officers shall promote the use of alternative solutions such as videoconferencing, whenever possible.
(4) Promoting train travel as an alternative to flights can contribute to the reduction in emissions from the transport sector and a move towards more sustainable modes of transport that is required if the commitments outlined in the European Commission's communication on a European Green Deal of no net emissions of greenhouse gases in 2050 is to be realised. Alternative methods of travel and of carrying out meetings, seminars and workshops should be encouraged, keeping in mind also the environmental impact.
(5) Notwithstanding this, the reimbursement of travel, accommodation and subsistence costs will continue and, because of their repetitive nature, these eligible costs are suitable for reimbursement through unit costs.
(6) In order to maximise simplification and the potential to reduce errors, it is important to set a common approach where the responsible authorising officer wishes to reimburse these costs through unit costs.

[^0]
## HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

## Sole Article

The use of eligible costs declared by recipients of Union funds on the basis of unit costs is authorised for accommodation, subsistence and travel costs under an action or work programme based on appropriations under the 2021-2027 Multi-annual financial framework, for the reasons and under the conditions set out in the Annex.

For actions implemented in direct management, the responsible authorising officer shall use the unit costs authorised by this Decision in award procedures involving the use of unit costs within the scope of this Decision and subject to compliance with the principle of equality of treatment.

For actions implemented in indirect management, the entrusted entity may use the unit costs as authorised by this Decision, in accordance with the provisions set out in the relevant contribution agreement.

Done at Brussels, 12.1.2021

For the Commission<br>Johannes HAHN<br>Member of the Commission

Brussels, 12.1.2021
C(2021) 35 final
ANNEX

## ANNEX <br> to the <br> Commission Decision

authorising the use of unit costs for travel, accommodation and subsistence costs under an action or work programme under the 2021-2027 multi-annual financial framework

## ANNEX

## 1. Forms of Union contribution and categories of costs covered

Recipients of Union funds may declare travel, accommodation and subsistence costs on the basis of unit costs for actions or work programmes implemented under direct or indirect management on the basis of appropriations under the post 2020 multi-annual financial framework.

The amounts of the unit costs to be declared by the beneficiaries shall be calculated in accordance with point 3 .

The unit costs shall cover all eligible costs related to travel, accommodation and subsistence, as relevant. Where unit costs are used to reimburse expenditure to one or all of these categories of costs, no additonal costs related to those categories may be reimbursed.

## 2. Justification

The reimbursement of travel, accommodation and subsistence costs based on actual costs can be cumbersome because it can require calculations based on multiple supporting documents, adding to administrative burden for both the granting authority and the beneficiaries. The simplification from using unit costs will allow greater focus on quality and impact of the actions and reduce the risk of error.

### 2.1. Nature of the supported actions

The COVID-19 crisis has changed how actions will be implemented. Travel has been suspended during the implementation of almost all actions in 2020 and it is not yet clear to what extent it will resume in the future. Alternative meeting, seminar and workshop formats have been found with significant more use being made of online platforms and other forms of video conferencing. This can be expected to continue.

However, it is also expected that face-to-face meetings, workshops, seminars or other events will resume to some extent. Therefore, travel, accommodation and subsistence costs will continue to be eligible under most actions funded by the Union budget.

Participants typically travel by air for longer distances or by land for shorter distances. Because the costs involved can be estimated on the basis of distance, it is appropriate to establish unit costs. Accommodation and subsistence costs can also be estimated accurately in advance.

As these costs are reimbursed in a similar way and at a similar level across programmes, it is appropriate to establish one set of unit costs so as to ensure equal treatment for beneficiaries across all actions.

The transport sector should also play its part in realising the European Commission's 'European Green Deal' communication ${ }^{1}$, especially the commitment to zero net greenhouse gas emissions in Europe by 2050. The Commission has set out plans for an acceleration in the

[^1]shift towards sustainable transport. In particular, the Commission has signalled that there should be a $90 \%$ reduction in transport emissions by 2050. Accordingly, actions funded by the Union budget should incentivise the use of more sustainable modes of transport, such as rail, where they offer a viable alternative to air transport.

### 2.2. Risks of irregularities and fraud and costs of control

The risk of irregularities and fraud is considered low for actions involving these costs as beneficiaires will still be required to keep proof of the travel (see section 3.1 below), which can be checked ex post.
The use of unit costs to reimburse travel, accommodation and subsistence costs also reduces the cost of controls as it facilitates more efficient processing of claims and reduces the risk of error.

### 2.3. Justification on why an output or result-based approach is not possible or appropriate

As the costs covered by this Decision are usually only an input to a larger action, it is not possible to define in advance an output or result-based unit cost.

## 3. Method to determine and update the amounts of the unit costs

### 3.1 Travel costs

Overview of methodology
In accordance with Article 181(4)(c)(i) of the Financial Regulation, the travel unit costs have been determined on the basis of an analysis of market prices for travel within the EU and between other countries and EU Member States ${ }^{2}$.

The analysis was carried out as part of a study commissioned by DG Budget, which started in November 2016 with the collection of a representative sample of market prices for air travel (from a semi-public source, QPX Express) and land travel (from the official websites of national railway companies).

The sample consisted of over 21000 flight connections between 74 EU airports and 56 nonEU airports, and over 400 land routes within the EU. The list of EU airports covered in the study was compiled by including for each Member State;
(1) the most important (primary) airports in terms of passengers, and
(2) at least one secondary airport (i.e. with fewer than one million passengers per year) to capture the cost of transport in more peripheral areas.

As regards land travel, intra-Member State routes were defined by considering for each Member State (except Cyprus, Malta and Luxembourg, due to their limited geographical size) up to seven distances between two points within that Member State, and different types of trains (regional, high speed and other long distance trains) and tickets (e.g. economy and flexible tickets; first and second class tickets).

[^2]The analysis looked at the extent to which prices were influenced by the following variables: distance, transport mode, class of ticket, geographical area, type of connections and booking time. The analysis concluded that, for air travel, distance is the main variable affecting prices (the greater the distance travelled, the higher the reimbursement rate).

For land transport, a distinction was made between intra- and inter-Member State travel, in order to take into account the different types of trains. The analysis demonstrated that geography has a bigger impact than distance on prices, due to big price variations among Member States.

The study assumed (in line with the Commission's approach for its own staff) that land travel is the norm for trips below 400 km and air travel for longer trips. Also, the study distinguished between prices for 'experts' (service providers supporting the European Commission services) and those for other 'persons' (working on EU financed projects and travelling to implement their projects). For the purposes of this Decision, only the latter have been take into account as they are more likely to apply to the actions covered by the scope of this Decision.

For air transport, the study produced two sets of unit costs based on;

- flights of more than 400 km between primary destinations, and
- all types of routes (between two primary airports; between two secondary airports; and between a primary and a secondary airport).

For land travel, the study used prices for rail journeys of $50-399 \mathrm{~km}$ within or between Member States, as follows:

- for intra-Member State travel, it proposed two options:
(1) a price per kilometre of travel for each Member State; and
(2) a set amount per journey, based on an estimated average distance; and
- for inter-Member State travel, the proposed options were:
(1) an amount per kilometre; and
(2) a set amount per journey (differentiated according to the Member States involved).


## Options proposed by study

Based on the above approaches, the study proposed three overall strategies covering all forms of travel (air and land) ${ }^{3}$.

- Option 1 involves reimbursing all journeys of more than 400 km using the air travel unit costs for all types of routes. For distances of less than 400 km within a Member State, costs would be reimbursed using the fixed unit cost for land travel in that Member State, while for inter-Member State travel the unit costs would be the fixed amount for the combination of the Member States in question. For combined air/land travel (e.g. involving land travel to/from the airport), only the flight is reimbursed. This is because the unit costs for flights are considered to already contain an element

[^3]for land travel because the methodology takes into account locations not served by a primary airport.

- under option 2, travel of more than 400 km is covered by the 'primary-to-primary' unit cost for air travel, in combination with the (per km) unit costs for land travel. For distances of less than 400 km , the land travel unit costs are used in conjunction with the actual distance travelled (measured using Google Maps). The distance is multiplied by the inter- or intra-Member State unit cost as appropriate.
- option 3 is an intermediate approach. It is similar to option 1 except that, for a combined air/land journey, the land transport to a primary airport is reimbursed on the basis of a fixed unit cost per Member State. For land travel, the approach is the same as in option 1.


## Preferred option

In assessing these options, there is a balance to be struck between the reliability of the amount (in terms of approximating actual prices) and the simplification that they offer. When the amounts for options 1 and 2 were checked against actual prices ('reality checks') ${ }^{4}$, those for option 2 were found in general to be closer. On the other hand, option 2 would not reduce administrative burden to the same extent, as considerable additional information and calculations are needed to determine which unit cost to apply. While option 3 could offer a compromise in terms of the closeness to reality of the amounts, the simplification to be achieved would be less for trips involving land transport and flights.

Option 1 was chosen because it strikes an appropriate balance between the objectives of the exercise (maximum simplification for the beneficiary and the granting authority, while still ensuring compliance with the principle of sound financial management). While option 2 (and to a lesser extent option 3) would give amounts that are closer to real costs, it would not achieve the same level of simplification and would make the unit costs less attractive to beneficiaries.

## Rail travel of more than 400 km

However, in addition to the options set out in the study, the use of rail travel should be promoted as an alternative to flying for distances of more than 400 km . This is considered appropriate and relevant in the context of the Green Deal, in particular the objective of zero net greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 .

Accordingly, any train journey between locations that are more than 400 km apart will be reimbursed at the same rate as a flight. The amounts are determined on the basis of financing not linked to costs, in accordance with Articles 125 and 181 of the Financial Regulation, and are considered appropriate and justified in light of the objective of incentivising beneficiaries to use rail transport where it can be considered a realistic alternative to flying. This could contribute to the Commission's target of a $90 \%$ reduction in transport emissions and zero net greenhouse emissions by 2050.

[^4]1. travel only by air $(\geq 400 \mathrm{Km})$ OR
2. travel only by rail ( $\geq 400 \mathrm{Km}$ ) OR
3. travel combining air and rail

Apply 1 unit cost per distance band (point 5.1)

## 4. travel only by land (between 50 and 399km)

$>$ intra-Member State travel
Apply 1 unit cost per Member State (point 5.2)
$>$ inter-Member State travel
Apply 1 unit cost between two MS (point 5.3)

## Exceptional Situations

The proposed unit costs were tested extensively against numerous real-life cases and adjusted to ensure the robustness of the methodology. The results of the tests confirmed that the unit costs for flight and land are a reliable proxy of market prices, with a limited number of special travel situations nevertheless requiring a particular solution, as follows:

- air travel:
- for journeys to/from a number of the EU's outermost regions and overseas countries and territories (OCTs) ${ }^{5}$, specific unit costs should apply (point 5.4)
- land travel:
- for journeys of less than 400 km not covered by land transport (e.g. Helsinki/Tallinn): the first distance band for air travel ( $400-600 \mathrm{~km}$ ) in point 5.1 should apply; and
- for travel to/from places more than 400 km from a primary airport (e.g. certain regions in Finland): the applicable rates should be increased by $50 \%$


## Scope of travel costs

Air travel can be reimbursed for any flights either within or outside the EU depending on the distance travelled. The unit costs will also cover any associated land-based travel (e.g. bus or train trips from neighbouring cities to/from the airport).

Unit costs can also be used to reimburse exclusively land-based travel of between 50 and 400 km either within or between Member States.

Rail journeys of more than 400 km within or outside the EU Union can also be reimbursed at the same rate as air travel. The unit costs will cover all train trips (i.e including connections) between the departure and arival location.

Because of the particular nature of travel to/from EU outermost regions and OCTs, this is covered by specific unit costs.

### 3.2 Accommodation Costs

The unit costs for accommodation are based on historical data on the actual costs for hotel stays that were reimbursed by the Commission. The data covers all stays in the relevant

5 The EU's outermost regions and OCTs mentioned in point 5.4 were included in the scope of the study at the request of the Commission based on experience with the Erasmus programme.
countries over a 3 year period (2017-2019); a total of approximately 150,000 individual stays and 295,000 nights. Given the data coverage, this is considered a reliable proxy of hotel prices in the countries in question and an accurate reflection of accommodation costs in actions financed from the Union budget.

The unit costs, per night and per country, are set out in point 5.5.

## Scope of accommodation costs

Accommodation costs are expressed as an amount per night for all Member States, EEA/EFTA States, acceding, candidate and potential candidate countries, the UK and countries covered by European neighbourhood policy ${ }^{6}$. No additional accommodation costs can be reimbursed where these unit costs are used.

Separate accommodation costs are not provided for the EU's outermost regions or OCTs. Instead, the rate for the relevant Member State can be used as a proxy amount.

For Liechtenstein, Syria and Libya, it was not possible to devise unit costs from the data on work trips by Commission officials, because there were not enough reimbursements on which to base an average amount. Instead, the following approach was taken:

- Liechtenstein: a market survey was conducted using the Tripadvisor ${ }^{7}$, website, of the cheapest available double rooms in hotels for complete months between July 2020 and June 2021. In total, 17 hotels provided prices for at least one month, with up to three doing so for any given month. These were compared against the ceilings for work trips by EU officials (EUR 95 per night). In all but one case, the quoted prices were above this ceiling. A EUR150 cut-off was imposed, and monthly averages were taken of prices below that amount and then averaged over the 12 month period.
- Libya: again, a market survey was conducted using tripadvisor.com, with the same parameters as above. However, only three hotels returned prices, and only for JulyDecember 2020 (i.e. no prices quoted from January 2021). In one case, the price was above the ceiling but, as only three hotels were listed, it was decided to use all three to calculate average prices over the 6 month period. The resulting average is below the ceiling for EU officials' work trips' and
- Syria: a market survey was not possible due to unavailability of quoted prices. With no other data or prices available, an average of prices in the other nine southern neighbourhood states ${ }^{8}$ was used as a proxy.


### 3.3. Subsistence Costs

The amounts for subsistence costs are based on Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1611 ${ }^{9}$ (for EU Member States) and Commission Decision C(2002)98 (for non-EU countries).

[^5]These Decisions set out amounts, which differ according to destination country, to cover the costs of meals and other incidental expenses (such as local travel) incurred by EU officials on work trips outside their place of employment. It is therefore appropriate to use them as a basis for reimbursing individuals involved in implementing actions funded by the Union budget

## Scope of subsistence costs

Subsistence costs are provided as an amount per 24 -hour period for all Member States, EEA/EFTA countries, acceding, candidate and potential candidate countries, the UK and countries covered by European neighbourhood policy ${ }^{10}$. The unit costs can be used whenever susbistances costs are an eligible cost of the action and, when used, no additional subsistence costs can be reimbursed.

Separate subsistence costs are not provided for the EU's outermost regions or OCTs. Instead, the rate for the relevant Member State can be used as a proxy.

### 3.4 Update of unit costs

The rates for travel are based on a market survey that was undertaken prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. In view of the severe impact that crisis is having on the travel industry, the Commission will undertake a review of the travel costs set out in this Decision as soon as it is considered appropriate in light of the evolving situation. In principle, this should be by 2022 unless the prevailing market situation is not yet conducive to such a review.
The Commission will continue to regularly assess the price situation and an additional review will be triggered subsequently should travel prices in the EU rise or fall by more than $2 \%$ over a two year period, as measured by the harmonised index of consumer prices published by Eurostat.

The subsistence unit costs will be automatically updated in line with any future changes to the rates in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1611 or Decision C(2002)98, and will apply to subsequent Calls.
The Commission will regularly review accommodation costs on the basis of actual hotel costs reimbursed from the Union budget.

## 4. Sound financial management, co-financing principle and double financing

In line with the principle of sound financial management, a large sample of representative market prices was collected, cleaned, analysed and many tests were performed to ensure that the unit costs are a reasonable proxy for real costs. The use of market prices reinforces the fairness, robustness and credibility of the methodology.
Reality checks were performed by testing the travel unit costs against actual prices. The analysis confirmed a maximum discrepancy of $+/-25 \%$ in $60-90 \%$ of cases. The accommodation unit costs are based on a large bank of data on actually incurred costs, while for subsistence, the unit costs are based on existing unit costs used by the Commission for its own staff.

Sound financial management is ensured for the amounts proposed on the basis of financing not linked to costs for train travel of more than 400 km , because the amount cannot exceed the

10
See full list of countries in point 5.5
amount that would be reimbursed for air travel. Therefore the amounts are considered justified in light of the outcome to be incentivised, i.e. the use of rail instead of air travel, which will contribute to the Green Deal targets of a $90 \%$ reduction in transport emissions and zero net greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 .

Co-financing has not been taken into account in this Decision. However, when used for actions, the unit costs will be subject to the normal co-financing rules of the relevant programme so co-financing will be taken into account at the time of payment.
Avoidance of double financing is ensured because the categories of costs covered are clearly defined (i.e. travel, accommodation and subsistence costs).

## 5. Unit Cost amounts

5.1 Amounts for return air, rail and combined air/rail journey.

| Distance Band <br> (in km) | Amount in <br> EUR per <br> return trip |
| :---: | ---: |
| $400-600$ | 196 |
| $601-800$ | 209 |
| $801-1200$ | 221 |
| $1201-1600$ | 230 |
| $1601-2000$ | 295 |
| $2001-2500$ | 343 |
| $2501-3500$ | 433 |
| $3501-4500$ | 527 |
| $4501-6000$ | 637 |
| $6001-7500$ | 720 |
| $7501-10000$ | 961 |
| $10001-M a x$ | 1.101 |

All distances to be measured using either the rail or flight calculator at the following website: https://ec.europa.eu/info/calculate-unit-costs-eligible-travel-costs_en the return journey.

### 5.2 Amounts for intra-Member State return journeys by land between 50 and 400 km

| Country | Amount in EUR per return trip |
| :---: | :---: |
| AT | 60 |
| BE | 46 |
| BG | 12 |
| CZ | 20 |
| DE | 64 |
| DK | 76 |
| EE | 16 |
| EL | 36 |
| ES | 52 |
| FI | 36 |
| FR | 64 |
| HR | 36 |
| HU | 28 |
| IE | 36 |
| IT | 52 |
| LT | 20 |
| LV | 16 |
| NL | 49 |
| PL | 20 |
| PT | 40 |
| RO | 16 |
| SE | 56 |
| SI | 27 |
| SK | 20 |

High speed train

### 5.3 Amounts for Inter-Member States return journeys between 50 and 400 km (EUR)

| MS | AT | BE | BG | CZ | DE | DK | EE | EL | ES | FI | FR | HR | HU | IE | IT | LT | LU | LV | NL | PL | PT | RO | SE | SI | SK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT |  |  |  | 58 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 58 | 58 |  | 58 |  |  |  |  | 58 |  | 58 |  | 58 | 58 |
| BE |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  |  | 36 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |
| CZ | 58 |  |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 36 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 19 |  | 37 | 21 |
| DE | 65 | 82 |  | 65 |  | 76 |  |  |  |  | 82 | 65 |  |  | 65 |  | 82 |  | 65 | 65 |  |  |  | 65 |  |
| DK |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |
| EE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EL |  |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| FI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| FR | 64 | 82 |  | 64 | 82 |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  | 82 |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HR | 58 |  | 36 | 36 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  | 37 |  |
| HU | 58 |  | 26 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 26 |  | 37 | 26 |
| IE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IT | 58 |  |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |
| LT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU |  | 50 |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LV |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| NL |  | 82 |  |  | 65 | 76 |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PL | 58 |  |  | 20 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 20 |  | 20 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 21 |
| PT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RO | 58 |  | 17 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| SE |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  |  | 54.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SI | 58 |  |  | 37 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 37 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |
| SK | 58 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  | 21 |  | 37 |  |


| Region/OCT | EUR |
| :--- | ---: |
| Aruba | 1343 |
| Bonaire | 1344 |
| Curaçao | 1302 |
| French Polynesia | 2204 |
| Greenland | 1118 |
| Guadeloupe | 801 |
| French Guiana | 905 |
| Martinique | 1858 |
| Mayotte | 2065 |
| New Caledonia | 1040 |
| Réunion | 1286 |
| Saba | 2395 |
| Saint Helena | 939 |
| Saint Martin | 1832 |
| Saint Pierre and Miquelon | 1838 |
| Wallis and Fotuna | 23 |

### 5.5 Amounts for accommodation and subsistence costs

| Country | Accommodation - <br> Amount in EUR <br> per night | Subsistence - Daily Rate in EUR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | 101 | 50 |
| Algeria | 157 | 85 |
| Armenia | 115 | 70 |
| Austria | 126 | 102 |
| Azerbaijan | 136 | 70 |
| Belarus | 108 | 90 |
| Belgium | 137 | 102 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 90 | 65 |
| Bulgaria | 110 | 57 |
| Croatia | 104 | 75 |
| Cyprus | 120 | 88 |
| Czechia | 107 | 70 |
| Denmark | 158 | 124 |
| Egypt | 152 | 65 |
| Estonia | 107 | 80 |
| Finland | 146 | 113 |
| France | 166 | 102 |
| Germany | 119 | 97 |
| Georgia | 134 | 80 |
| Greece | 107 | 82 |
| Hungary | 105 | 64 |
| Iceland | 190 | 85 |
| Ireland | 139 | 108 |
| Israel | 187 | 105 |
| Italy | 114 | 98 |
| Jordan | 140 | 60 |


| Kosovo ${ }^{12}$ | 92 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latvia | 95 | 73 |
| Lebanon | 154 | 70 |
| Libya | 146 | 50 |
| Liechtenstein | 135 | 80 |
| Lithuania | 94 | 69 |
| Luxembourg | 163 | 98 |
| Malta | 141 | 88 |
| Moldova | 133 | 80 |
| Montenegro | 98 | 60 |
| Morocco | 129 | 75 |
| Netherlands | 133 | 103 |
| North Macedonia | 95 | 50 |
| Norway | 145 | 80 |
| Palestine ${ }^{13}$ | 140 | 60 |
| Poland | 103 | 67 |
| Portugal | 109 | 83 |
| Romania | 109 | 62 |
| Serbia | 105 | 60 |
| Slovakia | 98 | 74 |
| Slovenia | 113 | 84 |
| Spain | 117 | 88 |
| Sweden | 158 | 117 |
| Switzerland | 178 | 80 |
| Syria | 145 | 80 |
| Tunisia | 99 | 60 |
| Turkey | 116 | 55 |
| Ukraine | 122 | 80 |
| United Kingdom | 151 | 125 |

[^6]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p.1).

[^1]:    1 https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/european-green-deal-communication_en.pdf

[^2]:    2 https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/64740760-e06e-11e8-b690-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-118557403

[^3]:    3 See Chapter 5 of the travel unit costs study for further details on these options.

[^4]:    4 See Chapter 4 of the travel unit costs study for further details on these reality checks

[^5]:    $6 \quad$ See full list of countries in point 5.5
    $7 \quad$ www.tripadvisor.com, which collects data on prices from several well known hotel booking websites. Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, Palestine, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon.
    Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1611 of 7 July 2016 on reviewing the scale for missions by officials and other servants of the European Union in the Member States

[^6]:    12 This designation is without prejudice to positions on status, and is in line with UNSCR 1244/1999 and the ICJ Opinion on the Kosovo declaration of independence.
    ${ }^{13}$ This designation does not entail any recognition of Palestine as a state and is without prejudice to positions on the recognition of Palestine as a state.

